STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT: RULING CLASS SCHISMS AND THE EVOLVING INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT
Deep State Fragmentation and the Paradoxical Shift Towards Transparency

Prepared for Internal Review Date: September 29, 2025 (Based on Source Material)

Page 1: Executive Summary & Key Findings

Executive Summary

Recent developments suggest a profound and intensifying fragmentation within the United States ruling class and its associated Intelligence Community (IC), referred to herein as "The Split"1. This schism, driven by systemic size and complex internal competition2, is leading to unprecedented instability in information control and presenting operational challenges for achieving full-on tyranny1.

Crucially, a powerful, heretofore unseen entity—the **Deep State**—appears to be intervening in the gap between the established Red (Republican/Conservative aligned) and Blue (Democrat/Liberal aligned) factions of Intelligence, thwarting the interests of both34. This intervention is manifesting as controlled information leakage and tactical attacks on previous high-priority assets, specifically Big Pharma5.

Key Findings

1. **Systemic Loss of Control:** The governors have experienced a significant loss of information control, becoming "hoist on their own petards" by the chaos they initiated, which allows truth to leak out, either by intention or oversight1.
2. **Intelligence Community Polarization (CIA vs. DHS):** A clear institutional rivalry exists between the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS)26. DHS, associated with "blackest of the black hats" like Cheney and Rumsfeld, favors immediate, visible tyranny ("jackboot grinding in your face")2, while the CIA represents older-school fascism and comparatively "grayer shades of black"2.
3. **Explicit Political Manifestation:** The political dynamic of the Trump regime explicitly highlights the CIA/DHS split6. Donald Trump, while "RED all the way," publicly endorsed the CIA 1000% at Langley and demonstrated overt contempt for DHS, advocating for its defunding or abolition via Project 20256.
4. **Strategic Shift against Big Pharma:** A large, deep consortium, operating above the Executive Branch front (e.g., Trump), has initiated a targeted campaign against Big Pharma57. This initiative is causing real financial harm and damage to public opinion, accelerated by events like the Tylenol fiasco and public statements linking vaccines and autism (e.g., Trump nodding to the Amish)57.
5. **Emergence of a Third Faction (Deep State):** A powerful entity is utilizing high-production, non-citizen journalist media (YouTube, Rogan, Infowars) to blow the cover of events orchestrated by the IC factions38. This entity has the reach of the Deep State and is acting against the standard protocol of both Red and Blue factions, who usually seek to maintain cover and avoid pointing at "the man behind the curtain"34.

Implications and Scenarios

The intervention of the Deep State suggests three primary strategic possibilities49:

Scenario	Description	Likelihood (Source-Based Assessment)
A	**Genuine Benevolence:** Top rulers have experienced a moral shift and decided to back off from current oppressive strategies4.	Highly unlikely; ruling class is "almost wholly self-interested"910.

B	**Politic Back-Off:** Rulers recognize that current aggressive tactics are self-harming (e.g., burning the Phoenix) and are temporarily shifting strategy based on warnings or self-preservation9.	Plausible; suggests calculated self-interest and awareness of systemic risks910.
C	**Invisible Screw Turn:** A tactical feigned benevolence (throwing a few bones) designed to lull the populace into further complacency before the "final crush"9.	Plausible; aligns with the concept that "fake altruism" and "feigned benevolence" are highly useful forms of egoism and governance10.

The most likely underlying motivation remains **self-interest**, recognizing that a poorly cloaked malevolence is less effective than strategic, feigned benevolence—the "carrot working better than the stick" when resistance is rising10.

Page 2: Introduction and Contextual Drivers of Internal Conflict

1. The Paradox of Total Control: Operation Chaos and Truth Leakage

The foundation of the ruling class's enduring power has been the deliberate maintenance of ignorance among the populace1. For millennia, this strategy proved highly effective1. However, current governmental operations utilize **Operation Chaos**, which requires the continuous dumping of vast amounts of content, inadvertently mixing in "a lot of truth"1.

This paradoxical approach, originally intended to foster confusion and maintain control, is now failing1:

• **Information Saturation:** The rise in total available information has led to a consequential loss of control by the governors, a development they failed to anticipate1.

• **Unspinnable Admissions:** Admitting even a fraction of crimes is ultimately detrimental and "unspinnable" if the goal is absolute, full-on tyranny1.

• **Self-Inflicted Damage:** The chaos intended to manage the population has boomeranged, making the governors "victims of the chaos they themselves created"1.

2. Systemic Drivers of the Intelligence Split

The expansion and complexity of the US security apparatus have inadvertently created the conditions for profound internal schism2. Initially, the vast size of the US population and area was beneficial for the governors, making organized revolution extremely difficult2. Post-Watergate, however, the exponential expansion of Intelligence agencies reversed this advantage2.

2.1. Escalation of Internal Competition:

• **Resource Competition:** Initial conflicts were simply competitions for resources among subagencies2.

• **Ideological/Strategic Competition:** Conflicts quickly escalated into competition over long-term strategic plans, encompassing different "forms and flavors of tyranny" and varying "speeds of execution"2.

2.2. The 9/11 Catalyst (DHS Formation):

The formation of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) following 9/11 solidified the institutional split2. DHS immediately began competing with the established CIA2.

Agency/Faction	Ideological Alignment	Strategic Approach	Comparative Stance

DHS (Black Hats)	Linked to figures like Cheney and Rumsfeld2.	Advocates for direct, rapid, and aggressive tyranny ("jackboot grinding")2.	The "blackest of the blacks hats"2.
CIA (Grayer Shades)	Represents older-school, traditional fascism2.	More measured, slower execution of tyranny2.	Made to look "relatively charming" by DHS actions2.

Page 3: Deep Dive: The CIA/DHS Schism and Political Fronts

3. Explicit Evidence of the Institutional Schism

The conflict between the CIA and DHS transcends mere bureaucratic rivalry and is observable through high-level political posturing and policy execution6.

3.1. Trump Regime as a Proxy Battleground:

The behavior of Donald Trump, despite being categorized as "RED all the way," provides explicit confirmation of the CIA/DHS rivalry6:

• **CIA Endorsement:** Early in his first term, Trump delivered a speech at Langley, assuring the CIA of his "1000% support"6.

• **DHS Antagonism:** Simultaneously, Trump expresses deep disregard for DHS and its founders, despising the Cheneys6.

• **Policy Initiatives:** Concrete actions against DHS include the nomination of Kristi Noem (characterized as a "hairdo/nobody") to head the agency, signaling disrespect6. Furthermore, the platform of **Project 2025** explicitly includes proposals for defunding or even abolishing DHS6.

3.2. Red/Blue Factions and the Wurlitzer Mechanism

The broader IC split is often simplified into Red (Republican-aligned) and Blue (Democrat-aligned) factions, with each utilizing propaganda (the "Wurlitzer") to advance its agenda3.

• **Red Faction Goal:** Generally seeks to benefit Republicans, create sympathy, and "blackwash" Democrats (e.g., initial intent of the Charlie Kirk event)3.

• **Blue Faction Goal:** Seeks to counter Red narratives, often by attempting to spin events (e.g., Jimmy Kimmel blaming the Kirk event on MAGA)3.

Crucially, neither the Red nor the Blue faction has an interest in *blowing the cover* of major orchestrated events, as this would expose the mechanisms of the Deep State itself—pointing at the men behind the curtain3**.**

4. Coordinated Attack on Big Pharma

The recent, highly significant strategic shift involves a consortium far larger than the Executive Branch, executing a deep and impactful attack on pharmaceutical interests57.

4.1. Financial and Public Opinion Damage:

• This campaign is not originating from Congress5.

• The attack is causing **billions in losses** for Pharma, affecting both government contracts and direct consumer sales5.

• Public opinion is rapidly deteriorating5.

4.2. High-Profile Messaging:

The consortium is utilizing high-level fronts (such as Trump) to promote specific, damaging narratives7:

• **The Amish Narrative:** Trump's "shout-out to the Amish for their lack of autism" serves as a direct, high-impact nod confirming the strategic pivot against vaccines57.

• **Actor Protection:** Trump is merely the *actor* fronting far bigger interests7. His protection, and the protection of other key figures like Robert F. Kennedy, comes from "way above," ensuring the strategy survives potential changes in political leadership (e.g., assassination)7.

Page 4: Analysis of Operational Shifts and Third-Party Intervention

5. Shifts in the Information Ecosystem

The recent "reversal of wind" in information flow indicates a new level of strategic coordination that deviates from standard Red/Blue operational procedures8.

5.1. Censorship Relaxation and New Content Profiles:

Previously, after the Sandy Hook event, YouTube systematically deleted citizen journalist videos questioning mainstream events, allowing only government-originated, slick mainstream productions8. This has changed:

• **New Videos:** Many new channels are popping up, seriously questioning established narratives (e.g., the Charlie Kirk event)8.

• **Production Quality:** These videos are "very slick," indicating professional backing rather than "the guy next door"11.

• **Intel Fronts as Informers:** Agents who are clearly Intelligence fronts (e.g., Matt Kibbe from BlazeTV, noted for promoting figures like Friedrich Hayek, who must not be trusted due to his establishment backing1112) are now actively informing the populace about sensitive subjects, such as Fauci and vaccines11. **These agents are attacking genuinely evil people**11.

5.2. Analysis of the Charlie Kirk Event Cover Blow

The Charlie Kirk event provides the clearest example of the institutional conflict and the emergence of the powerful third entity3.

Phase	Faction Involved	Motivation/Outcome	Evidence/Actions
Phase 1: Orchestration	Red Faction (Likely DHS connected)	To escalate Red/Blue wars, create Republican sympathy, and blackwash Democrats3.	Erika Kirk's mother works for DHS, suggesting a potential DHS connection to the event12.
Phase 2: Spinning	Blue Faction	To spin the event against opponents (e.g., blaming MAGA)3.	Jimmy Kimmel's actions are noted as Blue faction spinning3.
Phase 3: Cover Blow	Unknown (Deep State)	To thwart the interests of *both* Red and Blue factions for undisclosed strategic reasons34.	Videos appearing on YouTube, Rogan, and Infowars completely blowing the cover, suggesting the entire event was a fake38.

It is speculated that if the event was run by DHS, the CIA might be blowing their cover to further damage the rival agency12. However, the complicated position of Trump, who promoted the Kirk storyline but also targets DHS, suggests intricate, tangled webs where directors may utilize Trump to support the story short-term while still finding a way to later blackwash DHS through the event12.

6. Defining the Deep State Intervener

The powerful entity moving into the informational gap, thwarting the efforts of both Red and Blue Intel factions, can only be what has been historically termed the **Deep State**4. This entity is defined by its extensive reach, allowing it to manipulate information flows across major platforms4.

Page 5: Strategic Implications and Future Scenarios

7. Strategic Assessment of Deep State Motives

The key question remains: Why is the Deep State acting against the short-term, controlling interests of its own factions? The three primary scenarios (A, B, C) are based on the core motivation: benevolence versus self-preservation versus manipulation49.

7.1. Feigned Benevolence (Egoism as Altruism)

The most compelling explanation focuses on high-level strategic egoism10:

• **Calculated Self-Interest:** The rulers are realizing that their own self-interests are being undermined by the current, highly visible state of governance10.

• **Avoiding Catastrophe:** A poorly controlled, visible system risks self-immolation ("avoid burning up to start with")9. It is strategically safer to remove "the torch from the pyre beneath the Phoenix"9.

• **The Carrot over the Stick:** In governance, effective control is often achieved when a "feigned benevolence" or "fake altruism" is employed10. When the populace ("the mule") is already balking, the *carrot* (throwing a few truths or "bones") works better than the *stick* (poorly cloaked malevolence)910.

This realization transforms some members of the ruling class into "the most dangerous revolutionaries of all," ironically driven by their commitment to self-interest10.

7.2. Historical Context of Controlled Opposition:

The use of agents and controlled opposition (e.g., Matt Kibbe) that appear to be telling the truth, even while working for Intelligence fronts (BlazeTV/Glenn Beck), reinforces the Deep State's capacity for controlled narrative11. Warnings about tyranny, when delivered by established fronts (e.g., Hayek, promoted by General Motors and Look magazine; or Orwell), should be treated with skepticism, as they may not be what they seem12.

Conclusion: Navigating the Split

The Intelligence Community split, driven by exponential expansion and competing visions of tyranny2, has destabilized centralized information control1. The rise of a powerful third entity (the Deep State) that actively undermines Red and Blue faction events, particularly targeting powerful entities like Big Pharma35, represents a major strategic pivot.

The shift toward limited transparency and attacks on high-profile targets is not likely driven by genuine benevolence, but by a refined strategy of self-preservation and calculated manipulation—a highly useful form of egoism intended to maintain long-term control by slowing the pace of the crush910**.** Stakeholders must recognize that all actors in this saga are promoted and protected from "way above"7, and that webs of allegiance remain intensely tangled12.

Exhibits (Source Citations)1

Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT," September 29, 2025. (Discussion of Split, Operation Chaos, loss of control, and unspinnable crimes.) 2 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (System size, post-Watergate expansion, DHS/CIA split, black hats/grayer shades.) 6 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Trump's support for CIA, disdain for Cheneys/DHS, Project 2025.) 8 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Reversal of the wind, censorship post-Sandy Hook, new slick videos.) 11 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Matt Kibbe example, Intel fronts informing populace, attacking evil people.) 5 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Attack on Big Pharma, Amish/autism shout-out, Tylenol fiasco, financial harm.) 7 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Trump as a front, large consortium, protection of actors.) 3 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Charlie Kirk event, Red/Blue wars, third faction blowing cover.) 4 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Deep State entity, three reasons for action.) 9 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Benevolence assessment, backing off, invisible screw.) 10 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Fake altruism, feigned benevolence, carrot over stick, self-interested revolutionaries.) 12 Mathis, M. "THE SPLIT." (Hayek/Orwell warning, DHS/Erika Kirk connection, CIA blowing DHS cover, tangled webs.)